



California Coalition on
22ND **Workers' Compensation**
ANNUAL CONFERENCE
LEGISLATIVE & EDUCATIONAL FORUM

**CONTROLLING
WORKERS
COMPENSATION
COSTS THROUGH
RESERVE OVERSIGHT**

Brian Arnold – World Insurance
Travis Harper – Diligere
Jaime Trunnell – Gallagher Bassett

July 7, 2026

CONTROLLING WORKERS COMPENSATION COSTS THROUGH RESERVE OVERSIGHT

- Unmanaged Claim reserves inflate workers' compensation program costs
- Rigorous reserve & claim oversight reduces
 - » Severity
 - » Loss Ratios
 - » Decreases claim frequency



Workers' Comp Costs are not Soley Driven by Injuries

How claims are reserved and managed

- **What are the reserve practices of your carriers/TPA's?**
 - » Stated Expectations in reserving practices
 - » Requirements for your reserving practices
 - » Regulatory vs. Corporate vs. Tradition vs. AI driven
- **Unmanaged Reserves = Distorted Financial Exposure**
 - » What does Reserve Management look like?
 - » Is there a reasonable rationale behind the reserving practice?
 - » Are expectations clear, documented, communicated, audited, and revised?
 - » Teaching good reserves in the age of AI reserving and AI oversight?
- **Outcome**
 - » Long tail Impact
 - » Artificially inflated or deflated loss ratios
 - » Loss of expertise in “pricing” the real claim impact
- **Solution:** Disciplined reserve oversight at many levels and proactive claims management will improve financial stability of the claims program



WHY IT MATTERS:

- **Financial Impact of Poor Reserve Management**
 - » Direct impact on Loss Ratios and Premium
 - » Experience Modification Factor, EMR/Ex-mod trends and long-term impacts
 - » Inaccurate premium collection, market volatility, financial impact on real businesses
- **Creates:**
 - » Budget Instability
 - » Hidden Liabilities
 - » Capital Inefficiency
 - » Poor reserve/claims practices impact program viability
- **KEY POINT:**
 - » Reserves are not just account tools – they drive your total costs of risk
 - » Inflated costs allocated for risk, are not able to be allocated for growth



How Reserves are Set (Structural Challenge)

- **Established Early in Claim Lifecycle with Limited Medical Clarity**
 - » Initial Investigation (Three Point Contact and beyond)
 - » Preliminary Diagnosis
 - » Adjuster vs Examiner – Semantics Matter!
 - » Expectations of your Risk Management Team – Not just “HR/Claims,” All have a role to play CFO, CRO, etc.
- **Regulatory Deadlines**
 - » Self-Insured Programs
 - » High Retention Programs
 - » Guaranteed Cost Programs
 - » Captive Programs
 - » Incurred but not Reported (IBNR)
- **Results:**
 - » High Reliance on assumptions
 - » Built-in margin for error – and error costs \$\$\$
 - » Significant opportunity for mismanagement and exposure



Components of Claims Costs - Reserves are built from three cost drivers:

- **Medical**

- » Treatment, surgery, therapy, meds, diagnostics
- » Are the reserves really outlines for each of these

- **Indemnity**

- » Wage replacement, disability, settlements
- » Are all documents collected to set correct benefit rates
- » Are realistic time periods being reserved, or “standard practice”
- » Has the insured been given an opportunity for RTW opportunities
- » Is the examiner having continuing communication with the insured to ensure financial oversight in TD/PD benefit delivery

- **Expense:**

- » Excluded from Ex-mod, but in Loss Ratio calculation
- » Legal fees, case management, administrative costs
- » ULAE – Costs of claims administration (per claims costs factored in)
- » ALAE – attorneys fees, SDT fees, investigation fees
- » Litigation management
- » Costs of litigation in CA are up nearly 50% since 2019 (WCIRB study May 2026)



The Core Problem: Unmanaged Reserves

What happens Without Oversight

- **Reserves Become:**
 - » Inflated (Cushioning)
 - » Reactive vs. Strategic
 - » Poorly updated
 - » Auditing procedure
- **Adjusters Operate**
 - » Without employer input
 - » Without accountability metrics
- **RESULTS:** Artificial inflation of total program cost.

The Unknown is unknown.



Key Failure Points

- **Inflated Experience Modification (Ex-mod)**

- » Over-reserving increased reported losses
- » Drives higher premiums for 3 recent policy years

- **Under-reserving Risk**

- » Leads to large unexpected adjustments
- » Disrupts financial planning
- » CFO's and Risk Managers don't like surprises

- **Lack of Transparency**

Employers cannot:

- » Identify high-risk claims
- » Influence outcomes early
- » Calculate Risk
- » Average costs of Litigated indemnity claim: ~\$88k (WCIRB study May 2026)
- » Where to hedge bets and C&R claims
- » Poor Precedents in settlements that negatively impact the employer in perpetuity

- **Legal Escalation**

- » Poorly managed claims -> Litigation -> Prolonged duration -> Higher reserves



Operational Red Flags

What to Watch for:

- Stair-stepping reserves (Frequent incremental increases) without rationale
- Large adjustments (>10%) without justification
- Reserves not aligned with medical progress
- High reserves late in the claim lifecycle



The Cost Cycle of Poor Reserve Management

Inaccurate Reserves



Inflated Loss Ratios



Higher Ex-mod



Increased Premiums



Reduced Capital for Operations



Reactive Claims Handling



Higher Claim Severity



The Solution: Rigorous Oversight - Shift from Passive to Active Claims Management

- **Reserve Accountability:**

- » Audit reserves, provide feedback, expectations communicated and reexamined
- » Authority Review of Reserving – What are the specified benchmarks, are they reasonable, do they make sense
- » Regulatory Compliance

- **Structured Claim Reviews:**

- » Active management of claims
- » Delegation and expectations of managing the risk
- » Accountability – If there is no accountability, there is no improvement

- **Early Intervention:**

- » What is the written, communicated method to ID potential high exposure incidents
- » Is this being applied and refined to remain effective

- **Employer Engagement:**

- » Participate
- » Informed
- » Educate
- » Invested
- » Active Management
- » **GOAL: Control severity first to influence total cost**



Strategy 1: Aggressive Return to Work (RTW)

- Provide light duty within 24-48 hours
 - » Does your clinic know you offer modified duty?
 - » Does your clinic have accurate, current job descriptions?
 - » Do you ask for specific limitations, not just “Off Work”?
- Predefined Transitional Job Roles
 - » Have a bank of pre planned jobs to fit many needs
- Have an effective Interactive Process (*HR – Non Delegable Task!*)
- Continue the Conversation through the claims and recovery process

IMPACT:

- Reduces indemnity reserves
- Prevents claims from becoming “lost-time”
- Shortens claims duration
- Best indicator of claim litigation prevention and inflated claim costs containment



Strategy 2: Structured Claim Reviews

- Do you know what your claims look like right now?
- Quarterly reviews with:
 - » Medical management
 - » Litigation management
 - » Resolution management
 - » Managing expectations of the injured workers
- 90-day review before Unit Statistical Data (Ex-mod)
- Monitor your own loss ratio = $(\text{Incurred Losses} + \text{Expenses} / \text{Premium})$
- Require documented action plans
- Involve your broker and learn “what you don’t know”
- How effective and invested is your safety committee – create “buy in”

IMPACT:

- Eliminates “padding” of reserves
- Partnership is cultivated, it’s not just a claim – culture changes
- Employees see proactive process for recovering employees – deters fraud & malingering
- Drives claim closure discipline



Strategy 3: Early Medical Intervention

- Direct Care to Occupational Providers – KNOW your clinics/providers
- Prepared Job Descriptions and Mod Duty Options for Clinics
- Pre-Authorized Treatments for Frequent Injuries
- Deploy Nurse Case Managers Early on Critical Cases
- Know the Health Culture of Your Employees.
 - » What does treatment look like to them?
 - » Find the Best Way to Accomplish That Ideal

IMPACT:

- Reduces unnecessary treatment
- Shortens recovery timelines
- Mitigates psycho/somatization of injuries on injured employees
- Controls medical cost inflation



Strategy 4: Reserve Control Mechanisms

- Set Threshold Alerts at Specific Benchmarks (i.e. \$10k, \$25k, \$50k, etc.)
- Require Employer Approval for Major Reserve Changes (+ or -)
- Audit Legacy Claims for Clear Management to Resolution

IMPACT:

- Prevents Arbitrary Reserve Increases
- Improves Financial Accuracy
- You “Know” the Cost of your Exposure



SEVERITY vs FREQUENCY MATRIX

Intervention	Impact on Severity	Impact on Frequency
Immediate RTW	Reduces indemnity	Converts to medical-only claims
Early Reporting of Claims	Limits escalation	Prevents fraudulent claims
Oversight of Claims Admin.	Eliminates excess reserves	Identifies repeat risks



MEASURABLE OUTCOMES – With Disciplined Oversight

- Claim Severity



- Loss Ratios



- Experience Mod



- Premium Volatility



- Litigation Rates



- Claim Frequency Over Time

👉 Compounding Financial Improvement Over Multiple Policy Years



IMPLEMENTATION ROAD MAP

PHASE 1: VISABILITY

- Gain Access to Reserve Data – Learn how to Use a Loss Run
- Lean into your Broker
- Identify High-Exposure Claims

Phase 2: Control

- Implement Review Cadence
- Establish Reserve Benchmarks and Corollary Actions

Phase 3: Optimization

- RTW Program Evaluation and Implementation
- Medical Network Alignment – Do you know your clinic?
- Continuous Audits

*"When performance is measured, performance improves.
When performance is measured and reported, the rate of
improvement accelerates."*
- Thomas S. Monson



FINAL TAKE AWAYS:

- RESERVES ARE THE MOST CONTROLLABLE DRIVERS OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION COSTS
- UNMANAGED RESERVES DISTORT REALITY AND INFLATE PROGRAM COSTS
- DISCIPLINED OVERSIGHT TRANSFORMS OUTCOMES

BOTTOM LINE:

- ➡ CONTROL RESERVES -> CONTROL SEVERITY
- ➡ CONTROL SEVERITY -> REDUCE FREQUENCY
- ➡ REDUCE BOTH -> LOWER TOTAL COST OF RISK

